

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/022,510	12/20/2001	Mark L. Lipschutz	P 283029	2824
909 7	590 03/16/2005		EXAMINER	
PILLSBURY WINTHROP, LLP			BASHORE, ALAIN L	
P.O. BOX 10500 MCLEAN, VA 22102			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MCDD/H1, 17	1 22102		3624	
			DATE MAIL ED: 03/16/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		لمع	٧ /			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	Office Action Comments	10/022,510	LIPSCHUTZ ET AL.			
(Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Alain L. Bashore	3624			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. (1) (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 D	ecember 2001.				
2a)[
3)[Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	ion of Claims					
5)□	Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.				
Applicati	ion Papers					
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	epted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		•			
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)l	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document: 2. Certified copies of the priority document: 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document: application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage			
		,				
Attachmen	t(s)					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary				
3) Information Pape	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)			
3. Patent and T	rademark Office					

Application/Control Number: 10/022,510 Page 2

Art Unit: 3624

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1, 4-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jain et al in view of Kam et al.

Jain discloses an interest determination system that performs monthly calculation of account interest (col 5, lines 35-67). There is further disclosed processor, memory, system interface, and user interface (col 6, lines 17-34). Data is received for use in calculating account interest. There is disclosed a reference interest rate further includeing LIBOR (col 5, lines 35-67).

Jain et al dose not explicitly disclose daily calculation of interest rate.

Kam et al discloses daily calculation of interest rate (para 0164).

Art Unit: 3624

It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art to include daily calculation of interest rate because Kam teaches that daily interested is calculated for certain circumstances (para 0164).

3. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jain et al in view of Kam et al as applied to claims above, and further in view of Herschkorn.

Jain et al and Kam et al does not disclose data feeds providing financial data to calculate account interest .

Herschkorn discloses data feeds providing financial data to calculate account interest (col 3, lines 65-67; col 4, lines 1-14).

It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art to include data feeds providing financial data to calculate account interest because Herschkorn teaches allowing for analysis of securities (col 4, lines 1-12).

4. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jain et al in view of Kam et al as applied to claims above, and further in view of Kane.

Jain et al and Kam et al does not disclose margin interest.

Kane discloses margin interest (col 2, lines 38-46).

It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art to include disclose margin interest because Kane teaches such as part of margin use which is desrable in the art (col 2, lines 38-46).

Conclusion

- 5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alain L. Bashore whose telephone number is 703-308-1884. The examiner can normally be reached on about 7:00 am to 4:30 pm (Monday thru Thursday).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vincent Millin can be reached on 703-308-1065. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/022,510 Page 5

Art Unit: 3624

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Alain L. Bashore Primary Examiner Art Unit 3624